IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TYLER DIVISION

	§	
ANGELA MCKINLEY,	§	
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
v.	§	Case No. 6:22-cv-296-JDK-KNM
	§	
COMMISSIONER, SOCIAL	§	
SECURITY ADMINISTRATION,	§	
	§	
Defendant.	§	
	§	

ORDER ADOPTING THE REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Plaintiff Angela McKinley filed this appeal from a final administrative decision of the Commissioner of the Social Security Administration denying her application for disability insurance benefits. The case was referred to United States Magistrate Judge K. Nicole Mitchell for findings of fact, conclusions of law, and recommendations for disposition. Before the Court is the Commissioner's Unopposed Motion to Reverse and Remand. Docket No. 15.

On February 3, 2023, Judge Mitchell issued a Report recommending that the Court grant the unopposed motion to remand. Docket No. 16. The Report recommends that the Court reverse the Commissioner's final administrative decision and remand this matter to the Commissioner for further administrative proceedings. No written objections have been filed.

This Court reviews the findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge de novo only if a party objects within fourteen days of service of the Report and Recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). In conducting a de novo review, the Court

examines the entire record and makes an independent assessment under the law.

Douglass v. United Servs. Auto. Ass'n, 79 F.3d 1415, 1430 (5th Cir. 1996) (en banc),

superseded on other grounds by statute, 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (extending the time to

file objections from ten to fourteen days).

Here, no party objected in the prescribed period. The Court therefore reviews

the Magistrate Judge's findings for clear error or abuse of discretion and reviews the

legal conclusions to determine whether they are contrary to law. See United States

v. Wilson, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir. 1989), cert. denied, 492 U.S. 918 (1989)

(holding that, if no objections to a Magistrate Judge's Report are filed, the standard

of review is "clearly erroneous, abuse of discretion and contrary to law").

Having reviewed the Magistrate Judge's Report and the record in this case,

the Court finds no clear error or abuse of discretion and no conclusions contrary to

law.

Accordingly, the Court hereby **ADOPTS** the Report and Recommendation of

the United States Magistrate Judge (Docket No. 16) as the findings of this Court.

The Unopposed Motion to Remand (Docket No. 15) is **GRANTED**. The

Commissioner's final administrative decision is **REVERSED** and the matter is

REMANDED to the Commissioner for further administrative proceedings pursuant

to the fourth sentence of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g).

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 27th day of February, 2023.

EREMY D. KERNODLE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2